

Liberté Égalité Fraternité



Safe trajectory planning for single and multi agents

H. Piet-Lahanier^{1,*} *helene.piet-lahanier at onera.fr

Sautos - 24 October 2023 -

¹ONERA

Ce document est la propriété de l'ONERA. Il ne peut être communiqué à des tiers et/ou reproduit sans l'autorisation préalable écrite de l'ONERA, et son contenu ne peut être divulgué. This document and the information contained herein is proprietary information of ONERA and shall not be disclosed or reproduced without the prior authorization of ONERA.

Introduction

Safe Trajectory Planning

- Motivation
- Methods for single/multiple agent(s)
- Uncertainty
- Scalability?





Motivation

1 Single autonomous vehicle or set of autonomous vehicles





Motivation

- Single autonomous vehicle or set of autonomous vehicles
- 2 Known initial locations





Motivation

- 1 Single autonomous vehicle or set of autonomous vehicles
- 2 Known initial locations
- 3 Aiming to known target points





Motivation

- 1 Single autonomous vehicle or set of autonomous vehicles
- 2 Known initial locations
- 3 Aiming to known target points
- 4 What is the trajectory (ies) to follow with the best performance criterion?





Motivation : A simple situation









Motivation : A more complex situation







Summarizing the issues







Presentation

- A subject of interest

Numerous survey papers focusing on specific aspects (chronological order) for UAV domain

- A survey of motion planning algorithms from the perspective of autonomous UAV guidance [1]
- Optimization approaches for civil applications of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) or aerial drones : A survey [2]
- Overview of path-planning and obstacle avoidance algorithms for UAVs : A comparative study [3]
- Survey on computational-intelligence-based UAV path planning [4]
- A review : On path planning strategies for navigation of mobile robot [5]





A first taxonomy







Deterministic Graph based Search

- Graph Representation

- Iterative algorithms can handle most graph representation
- Grids used for simplicity of representation
- Representation may be selected for flexibility, adaptation, complexity
- Graphs $\mathcal{G} = S$, *E* correspond to set of nodes *S* connected by edges *E*

- Quadtree : Starting from rough graph, refining close to obstacles
- Voronoï : Edges built to be equally distant form obstacles (vertices at intersection)
- Visibility Graph : Environment with obstacles as 2D Polygons, Edges and vertices located on polygons boundary
- Voronoï : Edges built to be equally distant form obstacles (vertices at intersection)





Deterministic Graph based Search

- Graph Representation

- Iterative algorithms can handle most graph representation
- Grids used for simplicity of representation
- Representation may be selected for flexibility, adaptation, complexity
- Graphs $\mathcal{G} = S$, *E* correspond to set of nodes *S* connected by edges *E*







Deterministic Graph based Search

- Graph search problem

- Known graph $\mathcal{G} = S$, E
- Start vertex : $s_{start} \in S$
- Goal vertex : $s_{goal} \in S$
- Computation of costs along the edges : $g(s_i, s_j)$
- Heuristic : easy to compute approximation of cost
 h : s × s_{goal} → R
- Result : Path (s_{start}, s_i, s_{goal})







Deterministic Graph based Search

Graph search problem g: cost so far g(s) = g(s_{prec}) + cost(s_{prec}, s) h: heuristic cost to go h(s, s_{goal}) f: evaluation of a tentative path g(s) + h(s)







Deterministic Graph based Search

- Method for deterministic graph based search

Initial algorithm Dijkstra's Algorithm [7] deterministic search without heuristic

- \Rightarrow Development of heuristic-based algorithm A* and variants (e. g. [8], [9], [10])
 - Requires definition of admissible heuristics
 - Heuristic function $h(s, s_{goal})$ is admissible : $h(s, s_{goal}) \leq cost(s, s') + h(s', s_{goal})$.
 - Examples of heuristic : Δx and Δy difference of coordinates along x and y axes
 - Euclidian distance $\sqrt{\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2 + \Delta z^2}$
 - Manhattan distance $\Delta x + \Delta y + \Delta z$
 - $Max(\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z)$
 - Potentially weighted by w_{x,y,z}





Deterministic Graph based Search







Deterministic Graph based Search

Iterative Search — What if graph evolves?

- Initial solution determined on known graph
- Graph is modified (e.g. obstacles detected)







Deterministic Graph based Search

Iterative Search — What if graph evolves?

- Initial solution determined on known graph
- Graph is modified (e.g. obstacles detected)
- Requires to recalculate path







Deterministic Graph based Search

- Iterative Search

- What if graph evolves?
 - Initial solution determined on known graph
 - Graph is modified (e.g. obstacles detected)
 - Requires to recalculate path
 - Is it necessary to recompute the whole path?







Deterministic Graph based Search

- Incremental search methods

How can initial path can be reused ? \Rightarrow Necessary to save information

- Initial optimal results
- optimal path planned in terms of vertices
- Values of g functions
- \Rightarrow Detection of inconsistent paths
 - Perform updated graph search
 - Check variation of edge costs
 - Check removed or added vertices
 - Find local consistency
- \Rightarrow Replace inconsistent paths by consistent paths
- \Rightarrow Reuse the parts of the graphs that were not affected





Deterministic Incremental Search

- Some algorithms for incremental Graph search

- General
 - Incremental All Pair Shortest Path (e. g. [11])
 - Lifelong Planning A* [12]
- Often used for vehicle path planning
 - D* Algorithm [13]
 - Improved version of $D^* : D^*$ Lite [14]
- Integrating Temporal Logic
- Propositional Satisfiability and Temporal Planning (e. g. [15]).
 - Incremental Temporal Consistency (ITC) [16]
 - Space Filling Trees [17]





Deterministic Incremental Search

- The *D*^{*} Lite algorithm

Why use D* Lite?

- Efficient for robot navigation in partly unknown environment
- Easy to implement

What are the basic principles?





Deterministic Incremental Search

- The D* Lite algorithm

Why use D* Lite?

- Efficient for robot navigation in partly unknown environment
- Easy to implement

What are the basic principles?

D* Lite =

Based on Lifelong Planning A*

- Use of functions g, cost, h as A*
- Additional function rhs(s) : one step prediction based on g values : $min_{s' \in S_{pred}}g(s) + cost(s)$





Deterministic Incremental Search

- D* Lite

• Classical determination of optimal path (same *A**)









Deterministic Incremental Search

D* Lite

- Classical determination of optimal path (same *A**)
- Progression along optimal path : Graph is modified (e.g. obstacles detected)







Deterministic Incremental Search

D* Lite

- Classical determination of optimal path (same *A**)
- Progression along optimal path : Graph is modified (e.g. obstacles detected)
- Computation of predicted *rhs*(*s*)







Deterministic Incremental Search

D* Lite

- Classical determination of optimal path (same *A**)
- Progression along optimal path : Graph is modified (e.g. obstacles detected)
- Computation of predicted *rhs*(*s*)
- Updating of the path







Stochastic search

- Two main approaches

- Probabilistic Road Map : Creation of a graph by random search, then definition of a path
 - ⇒ Reactive Deformation Roadmap (local variations for attractivity or repulsion)
 - \Rightarrow Flexible Anytime Dynamic PRM (anytime and adaptivity to local unknown environment)
- Rapid Random Tree





Stochastic search

Rapid Random Tree

- Starting Point and End Point
- From starting point : build tree by random selection of vertices and growing branches
- Check for newly created vertex : outside an obstacle or forbidden zone
- Edge construction : Verification of obstacle avoidance

Examples in [18], [19]





Stochastic search

– RRT* Algorithm

Exploration tree G, Set of Vertices V, Set of edges E

- Initialize (G, V, E) by considering starting point,
- Define cost between two points, e. g. distance, enregy, time
- Random generation of x_{rand} ∉ Obst_j find x_{near} ∈ G, x_{near} = Argmin(d(x_i, x_{rand})), x_i ∈ G with d to be defined
- Build the new vertex *x*_{new} reachable from *x*_{near} in the direction of *x*_{rand} without obstacles collisions.
- Check with other vertices of G if x_{new} can be reached more economically from $x_{close} \in G$,
- If true, replace x_{near} by x_{close} add x_{new} to G and [x_{close}, x_{new}] to E





Comparisons A* and RRT*

-

Liberti Égaliti Fraternici

Comparisons : zone with Identical characteristics Similar cost Performances for A* and RRT* (faster) for example 1



Comparisons A* and RRT*

Comparisons : zone with Identical characteristics *A*^{*} more efficient for cost performances in example 2



The optimization based approaches







Trajectory optimization

- Deterministic Search for controlled trajectory

- Functional optimization
- Including dynamics
- Under constraints (feasible path)
- Set of inputs that minimizes a cost J(p)
- Satisfies $p \in \mathcal{P}$, \mathcal{P} set of feasible paths







General expression

- Optimal Control Problem ---

Determine x(t) and u(t)

- Minimizing : $g(x(0), x(t_f)) + \int_0^{t_f} c(x(t), u(t)) dt$
- Subject to : $\dot{x} = f(x(t), u(t)), \forall t \in [t, t_f]$ Dynamics
- Final constraints $e(x(0), x(t_f)) = 0$
- Current constraints, $h(x(t), u(t)) \le 0, \forall \in [t, t_f]$





General expression

- Optimal Control Problem -

Determine x(t) and u(t)

- Minimizing : $g(x(0), x(t_f)) + \int_0^{t_f} c(x(t), u(t)) dt$
- Subject to : $\dot{x} = f(x(t), u(t)), \forall t \in [t, t_f]$ Dynamics
- Final constraints $e(x(0), x(t_f)) = 0$
- Current constraints, $h(x(t), u(t)) \le 0, \forall \in [t, t_f]$

Optimal Control Problem

- Usually difficult to solve
- Mainly tackled by
 - 1 Discretize (see e.g. [20]
 - Solve (potentially on shorter time horizon) as a (Non)-Linear Programming problem
 - Interpolate between points
- Model Predictive receding horizon
- Bertsein Polynomials





Discrete formulation

Discretization on a limited time horizon

$$\tilde{t} = [t_0, \ldots, t_N] \ \tilde{x} = [x_0, \ldots, x_N] \text{ and } \tilde{u} = [u_0, \ldots, u_N]$$

- Minimizing : $g(x(0), x(t_f)) + \sum_{j=0}^{N} w_j c(x_j, u_j)$
- Subject to : $\left|\sum_{j=0}^{N} D_{ij} x_j f(x_i, u_i)\right| \leq \frac{1}{N^{\delta}}$
- Final constraints $|e(x(0), x_N)| \leq \frac{1}{N^{\delta}}$
- Current constraints, $h(x_i, u_i) \leq \mathbf{1} \frac{1}{N^{\delta}}$
- Introduce bounds (actuation limits) and initial and final equalities $x(0) = x_0$ and $x(t_f) = x_N$
- Search for optimal sequence (LP, NLP Mixed integer programming) and apply only first components

Examples : Cooperative trajectory [21], cooperative trajectory for search and track [22],





Discrete formulation

Use of polynomial basis

Use of Berstein polynomials (in France Béziers Curves) [23]

- Select Berstein approximation $x_N(t) = \sum_{j=0}^N c_j b_{j,N}(t), u_N(t) = \sum_{j=0}^N c_{u,j} b_{j,N}(t)$
- with polynomial basis $b_{j,N}(t) = \frac{N!}{j!(N-j)!} t^N (t_f t)^{N-j}$
- Discretize time according to Berstein approximation : $t_j = j \frac{t_f}{N}$, j = 0, ..., N
- Use property of BP for differentiation and convexity approximation
- · Search for optimal coefficients by de Casteljau algorithm
- \Rightarrow Efficient determination of single or multi agent trajectories, see e.g. [24], [25], [26]





Discrete formulation



Search by stochastic optimization

- Various approaches

Bio-inspired methods [27]

- Ant colony [28]
- Firefly algorithm [29]
- Monte-Carlo
 - Particle Swarm Optimization [30]
 - Genetic algorithm (Multi agent extension [31])
 - Potential field algorithm [32] (efficiently combined with RRT)
- \Rightarrow increased use of RL or ML approaches





Context

- Objectives

- Defining a set of trajectories so that agents can safely rejoin their final positions
- Similar requirements in terms of performances, (length, energy spend)
- Dynamics constraints, obstacle avoidance
- → Additional Constraints : Mutual Avoidance





Extensions of single-agent approaches

Main difficulties

- Combinatorial complexity : n trajectories to design with interactions Np hard
- Integration of mutual information : What does one know about evolution of neighbors
- Time description required : knowledge on dynamics, can the trajectory be hold
- Potential adversarial decisions for criterion optimization





Extensions of single-agent approaches

- Direct extensions

- *RRT** with potential fields [32]
- Short time horizon control laws [21], [22],
- Berstein polynomials [26]
- Extensions of graph based methods
 - Prioritized Planning
 - Safe interval path planning : SIPP
 - Conflict-based search for optimal multi-agent pathfinding : CBS





Extensions of graph based method

- Prioritized planning

- Use of Path planning method for single agent [33]
- Each agent receives a priority level for determining its path
- Plan must be performed avoiding conflicts with higher priority agents
- Definition of priority is made by a supervisor
- Safe interval path planning
 - Determination of path by A* in a dynamic environment [34]
 - Division of the time space into intervals
 - · Path must insure safety and obstacles avoidance in the intervals
 - Extension of reactive path planning methods





Extensions of graph based method

Conflict-based search

- Determination of path with two-level optimization [35]
- Superior level for conflict identification
- Introducing new constraints
- Lower level search for optimal path by using A* type algorithm
- Extension of approach uses multi-objective [36]
- Extensions of graph based methods
 - CBS : Optimal search with conflict resolution, but heavy computation
 - SIPP : Fast determination but conflicts are not ruled out
 - Prioritizing : comparisons proposed in [37]





Uncertainty

- How to account for uncertainty

- Multiple sources of uncertainty
- Obstacles
 - Obstacles locations
 - Shape of obstacles
- Other agents
 - Real locations
 - Intentions
 - Dynamics
- Agent
 - Real locations
 - Dynamics





Uncertainty

- How to account for uncertainty

- Multiple sources of uncertainty
- Obstacles
 - Obstacles locations
 - Shape of obstacles
- Other agents
 - Real locations
 - Intentions
 - Dynamics
- → Agent
 - Real locations
 - Dynamics





Uncertainty

- Risk-aware trajectories

- Integration of risk in the cost [38]
 - Reduction of propagated states by prediction of risks
 - Limitation of collision
- Determination of probabilistic boundaries [39]
 - Discrete type dynamics
 - Gaussian motion
 - Sensing uncertainty
- Al search
 - Use of RL [40], [41]
 - Determination of rewards
 - Construction of the learning bases





Summarizing

Safe path planning

- Graph based search

- Modelling as graph : integration of obstacles, reflect seeker information
- Integration of dynamics : adaptation of cost functions
- Dynamic changes : can be performed without reprocessing
- Uncertainty : more difficult for uncertainty on agents
- Scalability : Multi-agent in RRT*, prioritized/conflict based
- Swarms : extension of SIPP and CBS to large fleet

Major issues : mostly defined for 2D, definition of costs integrating information

Optimal control

- Transformation of obstacles into constraints
- Integration of dynamics straightforwards
- Dynamic changes : adaptation of time horizon
- Uncertainty : propagation of probability with state dynamics
- Scalability : Multi-agent extensions with multi criterion (Pareto optimization)
- Swarms : trajectories designed with polynomial based approach,

Major issues : definition of costs integrating information, discretization, constraints





Merci de votre attention ! Des questions ?

www.onera.fr

Bibliographie

[1] C. Goerzen, Z. Kong et B. Mettler,

A survey of motion planning algorithms from the perspective of autonomous UAV guidance, Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems, 57 (1), pp. 65–100 (2010).

 A. Otto, N. Agatz, J. Campbell, B. Golden et E. Pesch, *Optimization approaches for civil applications of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) or aerial drones : A survey*, Networks, 72 (4), pp. 411–458 (2018).

 M. Radmanesh, M. Kumar, P. H. Guentert et M. Sarim, Overview of path-planning and obstacle avoidance algorithms for UAVs : A comparative study, Unmanned systems, 6 (02), pp. 95–118 (2018). [4] Y. Zhao, Z. Zheng et Y. Liu,

Survey on computational-intelligence-based UAV path planning, Knowledge-Based Systems, 158, pp. 54–64 (2018).

- [5] B. Patle, A. Pandey, D. Parhi, A. Jagadeesh et al., *A review : On path planning strategies for navigation of mobile robot*, Defence Technology, 15 (4), pp. 582–606 (2019).
- [6] F. Allaire, G. Labonté, M. Tarbouchi et V. Roberge, *Recent advances in unmanned aerial vehicles real-time trajectory planning*, J. Unmanned Veh. Syst, 7, pp. 259–295 (2019).
- [7] E. W. Dijkstra et al.,

A note on two problems in connexion with graphs, Numerische mathematik, 1 (1), pp. 269–271 (1959).

[8] A. Stentz,

The D Algorithm for Real-Time Planning of Optimal Traverses.*, Rapport Technique Carnegie-Mellon Univ Pittsburgh Pa Robotics Inst (1994).

- K. Daniel, A. Nash, S. Koenig et A. Felner, *Theta* : Any-angle path planning on grids*, Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research, 39, pp. 533–579 (2010).
- [10] A. Nash, S. Koenig et C. Tovey,

Lazy Theta* : Any-angle path planning and path length analysis in 3D, Dans Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, vol. 24, pp. 147–154 (2010).

[11] L. Roditty et U. Zwick,

On dynamic shortest paths problems, Dans Algorithms–ESA 2004 : 12th Annual European Symposium, Bergen, Norway, September 14-17, 2004. Proceedings 12, pp. 580–591. Springer (2004).

[12] S. Koenig, M. Likhachev et D. Furcy,

Lifelong planning A,

Artificial Intelligence, 155 (1-2), pp. 93–146 (2004).

[13] D. Ferguson et A. Stentz,

Field D* : An interpolation-based path planner and replanner, Dans Robotics Research : Results of the 12th International Symposium ISRR, pp. 239–253. Springer (2007).

[14] S. Koenig et M. Likhachev,

D* lite,

Dans Eighteenth national conference on Artificial intelligence, pp. 476-483 (2002).

[15] D. N. Pham, J. Thornton et A. Sattar,

Modelling and solving temporal reasoning as propositional satisfiability, Artificial Intelligence, 172 (15), pp. 1752–1782 (2008).

[16] I.-h. Shu, R. T. Effinger, B. C. Williams et al.,

Enabling Fast Flexible Planning through Incremental Temporal Reasoning with Conflict Extraction.,

Dans ICAPS, pp. 252-261 (2005).

[17] J. J. Kuffner et S. M. LaValle,

Space-filling trees : A new perspective on incremental search for motion planning, Dans 2011 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, pp. 2199–2206. IEEE (2011).

[18] R. Pepy, M. Kieffer et E. Walter,

Reliable robust path planning with application to mobile robots, International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science, 19 (3), pp. 413–424 (2009).

[19] C. Jiang, Z. Hu, Z. P. Mourelatos, D. Gorsich, P. Jayakumar, Y. Fu et M. Majcher, R2-RRT*: Reliability-based robust mission planning of off-road autonomous ground vehicle under uncertain terrain environment, IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering, 19 (2), pp. 1030–1046

(2021).

[20] E. Polak,

Optimization : algorithms and consistent approximations, Vol. 124. Springer Science & Business Media (2012).

[21] W. Cao, M. Mukai, T. Kawabe, H. Nishira et N. Fujiki,

Cooperative vehicle path generation during merging using model predictive control with real-time optimization,

Control Engineering Practice, 34, pp. 98–105 (2015).

[22] J. Ibenthal, M. Kieffer, L. Meyer, H. Piet-Lahanier et S. Reynaud, Bounded-error target localization and tracking using a fleet of UAVs, Automatica, 132, p. 109809 (2021).

[23] R. T. Farouki,

The Bernstein polynomial basis : A centennial retrospective, Computer Aided Geometric Design, 29 (6), pp. 379–419 (2012).

 [24] C. Phelps, Q. Gong, J. O. Royset et I. Kaminer, *Consistent approximation of an optimal search problem*, Dans 2012 IEEE 51st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), pp. 630–637. IEEE (2012). [25] V. Cichella, I. Kaminer, C. Walton et N. Hovakimyan, Optimal motion planning for differentially flat systems using Bernstein approximation, IEEE Control Systems Letters, 2 (1), pp. 181–186 (2017).

[26] C. Walton, I. Kaminer et Q. Gong,

Consistent numerical methods for state and control constrained trajectory optimisation with parameter dependency, International Journal of Control, 94 (9), pp. 2564–2574 (2021).

[27] S. Almufti, R. Marqas et V. Ashqi,

Taxonomy of bio-inspired optimization algorithms, Journal Of Advanced Computer Science & Technology, 8 (2), p. 23 (2019).

[28] M. M. Gangadharan et A. Salgaonkar,

Ant colony optimization and firefly algorithms for robotic motion planning in dynamic environments,

Engineering Reports, 2 (3), p. e12132 (2020).

[29] B. Patle, A. Pandey, A. Jagadeesh et D. R. Parhi, Path planning in uncertain environment by using firefly algorithm, Defence technology, 14 (6), pp. 691–701 (2018).

[30] S. Shao, Y. Peng, C. He et Y. Du,

Efficient path planning for UAV formation via comprehensively improved particle swarm optimization,

ISA transactions, 97, pp. 415–430 (2020).

[31] H. Shorakaei, M. Vahdani, B. Imani et A. Gholami,

Optimal cooperative path planning of unmanned aerial vehicles by a parallel genetic algorithm,

Robotica, 34 (4), pp. 823–836 (2016).

[32] F. Bayat, S. Najafinia et M. Aliyari,

Mobile robots path planning : Electrostatic potential field approach, Expert Systems with Applications, 100, pp. 68–78 (2018).

[33] B. Binder, F. Beck, F. König et M. Bader,

Multi robot route planning (MRRP) : Extended spatial-temporal prioritized planning, Dans 2019 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), pp. 4133–4139. IEEE (2019).

 [34] V. Narayanan, M. Phillips et M. Likhachev, Anytime safe interval path planning for dynamic environments, Dans 2012 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, pp. 4708–4715. IEEE (2012).

[35] G. Sharon, R. Stern, A. Felner et N. R. Sturtevant, Conflict-based search for optimal multi-agent pathfinding, Artificial Intelligence, 219, pp. 40–66 (2015).

[36] Z. Ren, S. Rathinam et H. Choset,

Multi-objective conflict-based search for multi-agent path finding, Dans 2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pp. 8786–8791. IEEE (2021).

[37] B. Bouvier et J. Marzat,

A Comparison of Two Decoupled Methods for Simultaneous Multiple Robots Path Planning,

Dans DARS 2022 (2022).

[38] B. C. Shah, P. Švec, I. R. Bertaska, A. J. Sinisterra, W. Klinger, K. von Ellenrieder, M. Dhanak et S. K. Gupta,

Resolution-adaptive risk-aware trajectory planning for surface vehicles operating in congested civilian traffic,

Autonomous Robots, 40, pp. 1139–1163 (2016).

[39] A. Patil et T. Tanaka,

Upper and lower bounds for end-to-end risks in stochastic robot navigation, arXiv preprint arXiv :2110.15879 (2021).

[40] A. Puente-Castro, D. Rivero, A. Pazos et E. Fernandez-Blanco, A review of artificial intelligence applied to path planning in UAV swarms, Neural Computing and Applications, pp. 1–18 (2022). [41] S. Aradi,

Survey of deep reinforcement learning for motion planning of autonomous vehicles, IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 23 (2), pp. 740–759 (2020).